IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HELENA DIVISION

MICHAEL EINFELDT REG #06087-029

PLAINTIFF

v. Case No. 2:14-cv-00062-KGB/HDY

C.V. RIVERA, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Before the Court are two Proposed Findings and Recommendations submitted by United States Magistrate Judge H. David Young (Dkt. Nos. 30, 43). The Court will examine each in turn.

First, the Court has reviewed the Proposed Findings and Recommendations submitted by Magistrate Judge Young regarding plaintiff Michael Einfeldt's motion for a temporary restraining order (Dkt. No. 30). The Court also has reviewed the objections to the recommendations filed by Mr. Einfeldt (Dkt. Nos. 33, 36). After carefully considering the recommendations and objections, and making a *de novo* review of the record in this case, the Court concludes that the Proposed Findings and Recommendations should be, and hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety as this Court's findings in all respects. The Court denies Mr. Einfeldt's motion for a temporary restraining order (Dkt. No. 3).

Next, the Court has reviewed the second Proposed Findings and Recommendations submitted by Magistrate Judge Young regarding defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 43). Mr. Einfeldt moved to extend the time to file his objections (Dkt. No. 43) and later filed his objections to the recommendations (Dkt. No. 45). The Court grants Mr. Einfeldt's motion for an extension of time (Dkt. No. 43) and considers his objections. After carefully considering the recommendations and objections, and making a *de novo* review of the record in

Case 2:14-cv-00062-KGB Document 47 Filed 02/18/15 Page 2 of 2

this case, the Court concludes that the Proposed Findings and Recommendations should be, and

hereby are, approved and adopted in their entirety as this Court's findings in all respects. The

Court grants defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 37) and dismisses without

prejudice Mr. Einfeldt's complaint.

The Court certifies that an *in forma pauperis* appeal taken from the order and judgment

dismissing this action is considered frivolous and not in good faith.

SO ORDERED this the 18th day of February, 2015.

Kristine G. Baker

United States District Judge

Knistm H. Paker